

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,253	12/22/2005	Kengo Nagata	6268-001/NP	3444
27572 7590 03/12/2009 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EXAMINER	
			CHASE, SHELLY A	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/562 253 NAGATA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Shelly A. Chase 2112 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-26, 29-32, 44 to 58 & 61-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9.11.27.28.33-41.43.59.60 and 66 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsparson's Catent Drawing Review (CTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-22-2005.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2112

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 to 64 are presented for examination. the preliminary amendment filed
 1-22-2005 canceled claims 12 to 26, 29 to 32, 44 to 58, 61 to 64 and add new claims 65 to 66

## Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

 The references listed in the information disclosure statement submitted on 12-22-2005 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

#### Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 2112

## Claim Objections

 Claims 1 to 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: please change "node's" to -node--.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. Claims 10 and 42 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

  Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The phrase "to be performed in the first error detection code recited in any one of claims 1 to 4 and the kinds of error detection code operations in claims 5 or 6..." recited on line 4 of claim 10 does not render the claim as a dependent claim and creates uncertainty to the language of an independent claim. Claim 42 has a similar problem.
- 7. Claims 10 and 42 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only, and/or cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 10 and 42 have not been further treated on the merits.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2112

 Claims 10 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10 and 42 are indefinite because the claims as presented are unclear and vague since they are not in proper dependent form. 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph states "A claim in multiple dependent form shall contain a reference, in the alternative only, to more than one claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A multiple dependent claim shall not serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claim. A multiple dependent claim shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the particular claim in relation to which it is being considered."

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 1 to 9, 11, 27, 28, 33 to 41, 38, 43, 59, 60 and 66 are allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the primary reason for allowance of the claims is the inclusion of the limitations of "performing the prescribed error detection code operation on the received packet with an error detection code F2 obtained by performing an inverse operation for returning a result of the prescribed operation to an original on the error detection code F1 contained in the FCS field of the received packet" and "performing reception processing on the received packet with an understanding that it is classified as the second packet if the error detection codes C2 and F coincide with each other." The prior art made of record.

Art Unit: 2112

taken alone or in combination fails to teach or fairly suggest the novel features of the instant invention as recited in the independent claims.

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ferguson et al. USP 6810501

Cheney et al. USP 5285456

Avaneas USP 5132975.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelly A. Chase whose telephone number is 571-272-3816. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on 571-272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2112

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shelly A Chase/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2112